AHNAK C-Terminal Peptide Membrane Binding—Interactions between the Residues 5654–5673 of AHNAK and Phospholipid Monolayers and Bilayers
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ABSTRACT: The dysferlin membrane repair complex contains a small complex, S100A10–annexin A2, which initiates membrane repair by recruiting the protein AHNAK to the membrane, where it interacts via binding sites in the C-terminal region. However, no molecular data are available for the membrane binding of the various proteins involved in this complex. Therefore, the present study investigated the membrane binding of AHNAK to elucidate its role in the cell membrane repair process. A chemically synthesized peptide (pAHNAK), comprising the 20 amino acids in the C-terminal domain of AHNAK, was applied to Langmuir monolayer models, and the binding parameters and insertion angles were measured with surface tensiometry and ellipsometry. The interaction of pAHNAK with lipid bilayers was studied using 31P solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance. pAHNAK preferentially and strongly interacted with phospholipids that comprised negatively charged polar head groups with unsaturated lipids. This finding provides a better understanding of AHNAK membrane behavior and the parameters that influence its function in membrane repair.

INTRODUCTION

AHNAK, also known as desmoyokin,1 is an extremely large protein owing to its molecular weight of 629.101 kDa (according to its sequence in the UniProt Knowledgebase, Q9666). AHNAK comprises three domains: the N-terminal, C-terminal, and central domains, the latter containing approximately 4300 amino acids with 128-residue repetitive elements.1,2 Although there are various protein interaction sites throughout the protein, the majority are located within the C-terminal domain.1 AHNAK has been shown to play central roles in three important processes: cell signaling and cell contact,1,3–5 calcium channel regulation,1,6,7 and membrane repair.1,6,9 AHNAK is found in the dysferlin membrane repair complex, which also includes a heterotetrametric S100A10–annexin A2 complex.10–13 In the presence of calcium, the dysferlin membrane repair complex promotes the fusion of exocytosis vesicles, which expand and reseal the inner side of the cell membrane.14–17 Moreover, AHNAK acts as a marker of enlargeosomes, which are vesicles located in the cytoplasmic rim beneath the cell membrane.1,9,18,19 Following a calcium flux signal, the enlargeosomes undergo exocytosis and play a crucial role in membrane repair via a process in which the S100A10–annexin A2 complex, to which AHNAK shows a high affinity, recruits AHNAK to the membrane, where it forms a platform through the binding sites in its C-terminal domain (G_{5654}–L_{5673}).13 Understanding the interaction between the lipid membrane and this membrane repair complex is important for a better understanding of the cell membrane repair process. However, there are no data available regarding the interaction between AHNAK and the lipids that comprise the membrane.

The present study investigated the membrane binding of AHNAK by applying various biophysical techniques. A chemically synthesized peptide (pAHNAK), which comprised the 20 amino acids (G_{5654}–L_{5673}) of the C-terminal domain of AHNAK, was used with two membrane models: the Langmuir monolayer...
monolayer model and a lipid bilayer model. These were studied using surface tensiometry, ellipsometry, and 31P solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The Langmuir monolayer model mimics an asymmetric cell membrane and facilitates control over several parameters, including surface pressure and buffer composition, as well as the physical state of the associated lipids.20,21 Multilayer vesicles contain several lipid bilayers; they are relatively simple to prepare, require no support, and provide a sufficient signal for NMR analysis. 22 The experiments with the Langmuir vesicles contain several lipid bilayers; they are relatively simple to prepare, require no support, and provide a sufficient signal for NMR analysis.22 The experiments with the Langmuir monolayer model demonstrated that pAHNAK has a high propensity to interact with monounsaturated lipids. The ellipsometry and 31P solid-state NMR studies suggested that pAHNAK inserted more deeply into a monolayer of the monounsaturated lipid DOPS (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-i-serine) than with monolayers of two other monounsaturated lipids, DOPE (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine) and DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine). These findings provide a better understanding of the membrane behavior of AHNK during membrane repair and its other roles involving lipids.

# EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** The peptide pAHNAK, which comprises the 20 amino acids of the C-terminal domain of AHNK (Gluys K V T F P K M K I P F T S G R E Lys), was chemically synthesized with the standard Fmoc solid-phase synthesis method, in the medicinal chemistry platform of the CHU de Québec (Quebec, Canada), with a purity exceeding 95%. The pl was calculated with an online peptide property calculator from GenScript (https://www.genscript.com/tools/peptide-property-calculator).

The deionized water used for preparing all the buffer solutions was obtained from a Barnstead Nanopure system (Barnstead, Dubuque, IA, USA); its resistivity and surface tension at 20 °C were 18.2 MΩ cm and 72 mN/m, respectively. Tris base and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MI, USA), and hydrochloric acid from VWR International (Radnor, PA, USA). High-performance liquid chromatography-grade chloroform and methanol were obtained from Laboratoire Mat (Quebec, Canada). The following phospholipids were obtained from MilliporeSigma (Burlington, MA, USA); 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE), 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-i-serine (DPPS) (sodium salt), 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSTEPE), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phospho-i-serine (DSPS) (sodium salt), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (DSPC), DOPE (sodium salt), DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPPE), 1,2-didocosahexaenoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DDPPE), 1,2-didocosahexaenoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phospho-i-serine (DDPS) (sodium salt), and 1,2-didocosahexaenoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DDPC). All the lipid solutions were prepared in chloroform, with the exception of DPPS, which was prepared in chloroform, methanol, and water in the proportions 65:25:4 (v/v/v), at a concentration of 0.1–0.2 mg/mL. Unsatuated lipids were stored under an argon atmosphere in the presence of the antioxidant butylated hydroxytoluene (5 μg/mL),23 and saturated lipids were stored under atmospheric air. All the lipids and lipid solutions were stored at −20 °C.

**Surface Pressure Measurements.** The protein binding parameters were determined by the Wilhelmy method to determine surface tension.24 The surface pressure (Π) was measured with a DeltaP4 microtensiometer (Kibron Inc, Helsinki, Finland), using a 1000 μL Teflon trough (diameter: 18 mm, depth: 5 mm). A Plexiglass box was used to control the humidity for the experiments, and the experimental temperature was maintained at 20 ± 1 °C. The trough subphase comprised a 1000 μL buffer of 20 mM Tris at pH 8.0. The saturating concentration was determined by injecting increasing volumes of pAHNAK underneath the surface of the subphase; it was found to be 13.7 μg/mL for a surface pressure of 10.5 mN/m. This concentration corresponded to the onset of monolayer saturation and was used for all the following experiments.

In the subsequent experiments, a few microliters of the phospholipid solution for testing were spread onto the subphase to obtain the desired initial surface pressure (Πi). This was then left until the spreading solvent had completely evaporated to the film reached equilibrium; the time required for this depended on the type of lipid, the spreading volume, and the initial surface pressure. 13,25,26 pAHNAK was then injected underneath the lipid monolayer at the saturating concentration of 13.7 μg/mL. The interaction between the pAHNAK and the phospholipid monolayer was monitored during the experiment with surface pressure kinetics until the equilibrium surface pressure (Πeq) was reached. The change in surface pressure variation (ΔΠ) induced by the protein was calculated as Πf − Πi.

**Determination of the Binding Parameters.** The binding parameters were determined and the uncertainties calculated as previously described. 20,23,25,26 Briefly, the change in surface pressure (ΔΠ) after the injection of protein was plotted as a function of Π, and fitted by linear regression. The maximum insertion pressure (MIP) was then determined from the intersection of the plot with the x-axis and the synergy was calculated as 1 + ΔΠ. The uncertainty of the MIP was calculated from the standard deviation, r is the correlation coefficient, and n is the number of points. The calculations of the binding parameters and their uncertainties were made using an online software calculator (http://www.crchudequebec.ulaval.ca/BindingParametersCalculator).

**Ellipsometry Measurements.** The ellipsometry measurements were performed with a polarizer compensator specimen analyzer null imaging ellipsometer (1-Elli2000; Nanofim, now Accursion GmbH, Goettingen, Germany) using a 532 nm, 50 nm Nd:YAG laser. The measurements were made at an angle of incidence of 50° to the air–water interface (the Brewster angle of the air–water interface for pure water is 53.12°). The compensator was set at 20.00° and the laser output was 100%. 26,33 The ellipsometric angles Δ were measured for different regions of interest (size ≥20 μm) to reduce the influence of the lateral structure or defects within the beam spot and preserve spatial information, ensuring the results were accurate and reproducible.33 Each data point represented the mean of 10 experimental measurements. A home-made Teflon 5000 μL trough was used in these experiments, with a subphase buffer containing 20 mM Tris at pH 8.0. A tensiometer (Nima Technology, Coventry, UK) was used to measure the surface pressure by the Wilhelmy method. All the experiments were performed at 20 ± 1 °C. The protein concentration in the subphase was 13.7 μg/mL.

**Ellipsometric Angle Determination.** The ellipsometric angle of the subphase (i.e., the buffer), Δsubphase was measured as the baseline at the beginning of each experiment. Before adding a lipid monolayer, pAHNAK was injected at the saturating concentration of 13.7 μg/mL, and the surface pressure kinetics were monitored until the surface pressure reached equilibrium, measuring the ellipsometric angles every 10 min. For the lipid baseline measurements, a few microliters of phospholipid solution was spread on the subphase to obtain an initial pressure of 10 mN/m. Ellipsimetric angles were measured for pAHNAK, DOPE, DOPE, and DOPS (ΔDOPC and DOPE and DOPS, respectively), correcting each by subtracting the value of Dsubphase to avoid the influence of the subphase. For the measurements in the presence of both a lipid and the protein, the lipid was first spread to the desired surface pressure (10 mN/m), and then, pAHNAK was injected at 13.7 μg/mL. Ellipsimetric angles were measured every 15 min until the surface pressure reached the equilibrium, at which point ΔDOPC and DOPE and DOPS were determined. The experimental value ΔDOPC and DOPE and DOPS was compared with the sum of ΔDOPC, and DOPE and DOPS as the expected value.

**Multilayer Vesicles Preparation.** The samples for NMR were prepared as follows. A mixture of 6.7 mg of DOPE, DOPS, and DOPC in a 1:1:1 molar ratio in a chloroform solution was kept on ice for 30 min and dried under nitrogen steam, followed by lyophilization overnight.
to remove the residual organic solvent. Then, the lipid mixture was hydrated by adding 2 mg of pAHNAK solubilized in 26 μL buffer (20 mM Tris at pH 8.0). Alternatively, 26 μL buffer without protein was added to the lipid mixture as a control. The mixture was vortexed and freeze-thawed three times (for 10 min at −20 °C followed by 10 min at room temperature) to create multimamellar lipid vesicles. A disposable Kel-F insert was filled with about 8–20 mg of the sample and then placed inside a 4 mm rotor.

31P Solid-State NMR Measurements. Each experiment was performed twice at 20 °C to corroborate the membrane binding measurements and at 37 °C to mimic the physiological temperature of the human body.

PROCSA experiments were performed using a 400 MHz solid-state NMR Bruker AVANCE III-HD wide-bore spectrometer (Bruker, Milton, Ontario, Canada), operating at a 31P frequency of 162 MHz, with a 4 mm double resonance MAS probe. The samples were spun at 6 kHz, during which the temperature was calibrated and corrected, with an equilibration time of at least 15 min between the steps in temperature. The phosphorus (90°) pulse length was 3 μs; the PROCSA pulses were applied at a field strength of around 25 kHz. Proton decoupling during acquisition was performed with two-pulse phase modulation at a field strength of 25 kHz. Two-dimensional (2D) spectra were acquired with 256 scans for each of the 32 rows and a recycle delay of 3 s, taking a total time of 7 h. The processing was performed with automatic baseline correction and 5 Hz of line broadening, using the Bruker TopSpin 3.5 interface. The precision in the chemical-shift anisotropy (CSA) determination was ±2 ppm.

Measurement of Infrared Spectra. Infrared spectra were measured with a Golden Gate attenuated total reflection infrared (ATR) accessory (Specac, Woodstock, NY) installed in a Thermo Nicolet 6700 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. The multilamellar vesicles were centrifuged at 15,000g during 15 min before analysis. The spectrum of the multilamellar vesicles alone was subtracted from that of pAHNAK in the presence of the vesicles to get the spectrum of the peptide in the presence of the vesicles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Determination of the Membrane Binding Parameters of pAHNAK. It is well established that AHNAK is a marker of enlargeosomes. However, the lipid composition of enlargeosomes remains to be determined; it is only known that they probably contain cholesterol, phospholipids, sphingolipids, and lipid rafts. Phospholipids comprise a hydrophilic polar head group, which is negatively charged or zwitterionic, and two hydrophobic acyl chains, which can both be saturated, both unsaturated, or one saturated and one unsaturated. The different characteristics of the phospholipids influence their interactions with proteins. For example, lipids with a negatively charged head group (such as the polar head group of phospho-1-serine) are more likely to interact with positively charged proteins. The size can also have an influence: phosphocholine (PC) and phosphoethanolamine (PE) are both zwitterionic, but PC is significantly larger, which alters the polar head group and chain packing constraints and can influence lipid–protein interactions, especially for those where the protein is inserted into the membrane. The presence of unsaturated bonds in the acyl chains influences the physical state and phase of the lipid, which affects lipid–protein interactions. For these reasons, this study of pAHNAK membrane binding used 12 phospholipids that reflected these various properties. Six of those studied with the Langmuir monolayer model had saturated acyl chains: DPPE, DPPS, and DPPC are diC16:0 (i.e., each has two acyl chains of palmitic acid, which has 16 carbons with 0 unsaturation), and DSPE, DSPs, and DSPC are diC18:0 (with stearic acid chains). The other six phospholipids were unsaturated: DOPE, DOPS, and DOPC are diC18:1 (oleic acid), and DDPE, DDPS, and DDPC are diC22:6 (docosahexaenoic acid). One of the binding parameters measured in these experiments, the MIP, is the pressure at which a protein can no longer be inserted into a lipid membrane. Higher values of MIP indicate stronger affinity between the protein and the lipid membrane. The other binding parameter measured was the synergy, which represents the type of interaction observed between the protein and the lipid monolayer. When the synergy has a positive value, there is an attractive interaction between the protein and lipid; when the synergy is negative, this represents repulsion between them. The synergy and MIP values for pAHNAK with the 12 lipids tested are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>Synergy (mN/m)</th>
<th>MIP (mN/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DPPE</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>21.9 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPS</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.10</td>
<td>22.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPC</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.08</td>
<td>19.5 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPE</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPS</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>24.8 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPC</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.13</td>
<td>19.0 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPE</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.04</td>
<td>38.8 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPS</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.03</td>
<td>58.0 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPC</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.06</td>
<td>29.7 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDPE</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.03</td>
<td>32.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDPS</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.06</td>
<td>28.4 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDPC</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lipids are defined in the Experimental Section. Chains: DP; DS; DD. Polar head groups: PE; PS; PC.

Almost all the synergy values were higher than zero (Figure 1a), indicating that pAHNAK interacted positively with all the lipids. However, the synergy value for DPPC was close to zero, suggesting neither attraction nor repulsion between pAHNAK and the DSPC phospholipid monolayer. This observation means that the packing of the diestearoyl (DS) acyl chains leads to a lipid organization which is less favorable to the binding of pAHNAK than that of other acyl chains with the same PC polar head group, despite similar MIP values (19.5 and 19.0 mN/m for DPPC and DSPC, respectively). The value for DPPS (0.11 ± 0.10) was also distinctly lower than that for the other lipids. With the saturated phospholipids, those with the PE polar head group showed the highest synergy values, of 0.59 ± 0.04 for DPPE and 0.66 ± 0.03 for DSPE. With the unsaturated phospholipids, the synergy values were higher for the lipids with dioleoyl (DO) acyl chains than for those with the didocosahexaenoyl (DD) acyl chains, indicating that the single unit of saturation found in DO favors pAHNAK binding. The highest synergy observed for the unsaturated phospholipids was that between pAHNAK and DOPS, with a value of 0.55 ± 0.03.

The MIP values for all the saturated phospholipids were <30 mN/m (Figure 1b), indicating that pAHNAK cannot insert into domains composed mainly of these types of phospholipids in a physiological context. The membrane lateral pressure has been estimated to be about 30 mN/m, so MIP values <30 mN/m would suggest that pAHNAK insertion into a membrane would be unlikely. Moreover, all the MIP values are above the equilibrium surface pressure observed.
with the peptide alone (10.5 mN/m), highlighting the high attraction of the peptide to the lipid membrane in the presence of different phospholipids. The MIP values were quite similar across all the saturated phospholipids, indicating that the interaction between pAHNAK and the phospholipid was not affected by the length of the DS and dipalmitoyl (DP) acyl chains. pAHNAK clearly cannot penetrate the close-packed lipids in the condensed phase. However, MIP values were higher for the unsaturated phospholipids than for the saturated phospholipids, indicating that pAHNAK preferentially interacts with and inserts into unsaturated lipids. Furthermore, the MIP values were higher for monounsaturated phospholipids than for the polyunsaturated phospholipids with the same polar head group, which suggests that the thickness of the acyl chain region influences binding, in addition to the lipid phase, as previously reported.29 The highest MIP value was with the DOPS monolayer (58 ± 5.6 mN/m); together with the high synergy value (0.55 ± 0.03), this suggests that pAHNAK is highly likely to interact with physiological domains that have a high proportion of DOPS. High MIP and synergy values were also found for DOPE and DOPC (MIP: 38.8 ± 3.8 and 29.7 ± 3.4 mN/m, respectively; synergy: 0.51 ± 0.04 and 0.43 ± 0.06). This suggests the following preferential interaction order for pAHNAK: DOPS > DOPE > DOPC. This observation is consistent with pAHNAK having a pI of 11.25 (calculated with an online peptide property calculator from GenScript), which results in the protein having a positive charge at pH 8.0. Indeed, the pAHNAK sequence is GKVTFPKMKIPKFTSGREL, with positively and negatively charged amino acids in red and blue, respectively. pAHNAK thus has 25% of its amino acids carrying a positive charge, suggesting that pAHNAK may interact with the DOPS monolayer through electrostatic interactions. Steric hindrance also seems to have an effect on pAHNAK binding, as the PC head group is larger than the PE head group, suggesting that a smaller phospholipid head group promotes pAHNAK interaction. Indeed, despite the fact that DOPE, DOPC, and DOPS contain the same acyl chains, the molecular area per lipid is different for the three polar head groups and are 85, 94, and 97 Å² per lipid, respectively, for a surface pressure of 5 mN/m.43,44 Thus, phospholipid packing favors DOPS which offers more space for the interaction of the peptide. While the values of the binding parameters observed with DDPS are not higher than the ones observed with DDPE and DDPC, the same trend is observed for the influence of the polar head group with DD acyl chains. The single double bond of the DO acyl chains seems here to favor electrostatic interactions with negatively charged phosphoserine (PS) polar head groups, which may be attributed to differences in acyl chain region thickness.

**Insertion Depth of pAHNAK into the Phospholipid Monolayers.** To better understand the membrane binding between pAHNAK and the monounsaturated phospholipids, ellipsometry was used to compare the insertion depths of pAHNAK into DOPE, DOPS, and DOPC monolayers. The ellipsometric angle Δ of pAHNAK alone (ΔpAHNAK) was 0.87° ± 0.06. For an initial surface pressure of 10 mN/m, the ellipsometric angles for the DOPS (ΔDOPS), DOPE (ΔDOPE), and DOPC (ΔDOPC) monolayers were 1.26 ± 0.19, 1.21 ± 0.25, and 1.12 ± 0.24°, respectively. With the mixed lipid–protein systems, obtained after injecting the protein underneath the film and allowing the surface pressure to reach equilibrium, the ellipsometric angles represent the interaction between pAHNAK and the lipid monolayer. The values for ΔpAHNAK–DOPS, ΔpAHNAK–DOPE, and ΔpAHNAK–DOPC were 1.79 ± 0.21, 2.08 ± 0.19, and 2.32 ± 0.21°, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 2). In the presence of a lipid monolayer, the expected Δ value can be calculated as the sum of ΔpAHNAK and ΔLipid as described in the Experimental Section. These expected values for DOPS, DOPE, and DOPC were 2.13 ± 0.25, 2.08 ± 0.31, and 1.99 ± 0.30°, respectively.

![Figure 1. Synergy (a) and MIP (b) of pAHNAK for the 12 lipids. Chains: DP; DS; DO; DD. Polar head groups: PE; PS; PC.](image)
the experimental value did not insert. It appears also to form a thicker layer at the interface, that is, one that extends further into the subphase, but the extent of this cannot concretely established, given the error on the measurement. These data were consistent with the binding parameter analysis for the three monounsaturated phospholipids described earlier, which suggested the preferential interaction order for pAHNAK of DOPS > DOPE > DOPC. The depth of insertion of pAHNAK into the membrane appeared to follow the same order.

Interaction between pAHNAK and the Lipid Bilayers.

The CSA of the phosphorus atom in each phospholipid is an NMR parameter related to the inclination of the phospholipid’s polar head group, the lipid phase in which it is embedded, and its order parameter. A decrease in the value of the order parameter can indicate that the phospholipid polar head group has moved toward the membrane plane or that the membrane fluidity has increased around the phospholipid. Usually, the CSA for phosphorus is determined by one-dimensional static NMR; however, the spectra for lipid mixtures overlap and CSA measurements of individual lipids in the mixture become difficult. Using 2D NMR and magic-angle spinning, it is possible to separate the CSA of individual lipids in the fluid phase using the PROCsA pulse sequence (Figures S1–S4).34 In the present study, the isotropic chemical shift of DOPE was set to 0 ppm, and the resonances of DOPS and DOPC appeared at −0.10 and −0.60 ppm, respectively, with a precision of ±0.05 ppm.

Table 3 summarizes the CSA values for each phospholipid when PROCsA sequences were applied to lipid bilayers alone and in the presence of pAHNAK. The CSA results at 20 and 37 °C are shown in Figure 3a,b, respectively. At 20 °C, the CSA for DOPE increased slightly with pAHNAK from 21.5 ± 0.7 to 24.0 ± 0.0 ppm, indicating that adding the protein resulted in the DOPE polar head group becoming more rigid. The CSA value for DOPS slightly decreased and that of DOPC did not change significantly with the addition of pAHNAK. However, the CSA for DOPS decreased from 31.0 ± 0.0 to 24.0 ± 1.4 ppm at 37 °C in the presence of pAHNAK, suggesting that the protein increased mobility of this polar head group region. Indeed, even if the phospholipids are already in a fluid phase, different parameters can increase the fluidity, and thus the mobility, of the lipids, such as an increasing temperature or interaction with pAHNAK in our case. Additional experiments between 5 and 40 °C were performed with the three phospholipids composed of DO acyl chains and the results are detailed in Table S1, whereas the CSA values for DOPE and DOPC remain stable, and a decrease is observed for DOPS from 25 °C and above. With this model, the interaction between pAHNAK and the polar

Assuming the values of the ellipsometric angle \( \psi \) to be constant and the refractive indices to be similar for each of the lipid and lipid–protein systems, the value of the ellipsometric angle \( \Delta \) is proportional to the film thickness, as previously reported.25 For DOPS, the experimental value (1.79 ± 0.21°) was slightly less than the expected value, suggesting a partial penetration of pAHNAK into the DOPS monolayer (as illustrated in the schematic shown above the histogram in Figure 2). Alternatively, the conformation of pAHNAK may have changed to increase the interaction with the DOPS monolayer, resulting in this low ellipsometric angle value. For the interaction between pAHNAK and the DOPE monolayer, the experimental value \( \Delta_{\text{pAHNAK–DOPE}} \) (2.08 ± 0.19°) closely agreed with the expected value (2.08 ± 0.31°), suggesting that pAHNAK bound to the membrane surface without insertion (as depicted in Figure 2). For DOPC, the experimental value \( \Delta_{\text{pAHNAK–DOPC}} \) was 2.32 ± 0.21°, indicating that the pAHNAK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>interaction</th>
<th>experimental value (deg)</th>
<th>expected value (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pAHNAK–DOPS</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAHNAK–DOPE</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.19</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAHNAK–DOPC</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of Expected and Experimental Values of the Ellipsometric Angles Observed for pAHNAK Interacting with Monolayers of the Monounsaturated Phospholipids DOPS, DOPE, and DOPC at 20 °C

Figure 2. Expected and experimental ellipsometric angles \( \Delta \) observed for pAHNAK interacting with three monounsaturated phospholipids: DOPS, DOPE, and DOPC. The illustrations above the histograms schematically show pAHNAK’s interaction with each phospholipid monolayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>CSA value at 20 °C (ppm)</th>
<th>CSA value at 37 °C (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>without pAHNAK</td>
<td>with pAHNAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPE</td>
<td>21.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPS</td>
<td>31.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>30.0 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPC</td>
<td>26.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. CSA Values of DOPE, DOPS, and DOPC at 20 and 37 °C in the Absence and the Presence of pAHNAK
**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The Langmuir monolayer experiments showed that pAHNAK interacted more strongly with unsaturated lipids, particularly monounsaturated lipids, than with saturated lipids. The strength of the interaction between pAHNAK and the monounsaturated phospholipids found in physiological environments decreased in the order DOPS > DOPE > DOPC. Ellipsometry measurements of the insertion depth of pAHNAK into monolayers of these three monounsaturated lipids followed the same trend. 2D 31P solid-state NMR studies of multilamellar vesicles revealed two different interaction modes: at 20 °C, pAHNAK significantly impacted the polar head group of DOPE, whereas at 37 °C, it was able to insert into the acyl chain of DOPS.

In conclusion, in a physiological environment at 37 °C, AHNAK can probably interact strongly with monounsaturated phospholipids with negatively charged polar head groups, with insertion into the acyl chains. This finding represents a first step in an improved understanding of AHNAK membrane behavior. Membrane repair is dependent on a large number of parameters, such as the concentration of ions, the recruitment of proteins and vesicles allowing the repair, or the composition of the membrane. When the integrity of the membrane is breached, the surrounding phospholipids will thus influence the membrane repair mechanism. Moreover, the phospholipid composition of the membrane domains can be modified or altered with some pathologies, as revealed by several lipidomic analyses. DOPS-rich domains could allow faster recruitment of AHNAK by the S100A10–annexin A2 complex, resulting in a more efficient membrane repair. Future studies of the membrane interactions of other proteins in the dysferlin membrane repair complex will help complete the map of the membrane repair mechanism. Moreover, the phospholipid composition of the membrane domains can be modified or altered with some pathologies, as revealed by several lipidomic analyses.
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Supplementary Material
**Figure S1.** S1 2D-PROCSA $^{31}$P Solid-State NMR spectrum of 3mg of lipids (equimolar DOPE/DOPC/DOPS), 1mg of AHNAK peptide, and 12μl of buffer (Tris 20mM, pH8), at 20°C under MAS at 6kHz (7h14 acquisition time). 1D projection on top.
**Figure S2.** 1D-slices extracted from Fig. S1 (in black), and simulations (red). From top to bottom: DOPE, DOPS, DOPC.
Figure S3. 2D-PROCSA $^{31}$P Solid-State NMR spectrum of 3mg of lipids (equimolar DOPE/DOPC/DOPS), 1mg of AHNAK peptide, and 12μl of buffer (Tris 20mM, pH8), at 37°C under MAS at 6kHz (7h14 acquisition time). 1D projection on top.
Figure S4. 1D-slices extracted from Fig. S3 (in black), and simulations (in red). From top to bottom: DOPE, DOPS, DOPC.
Figure S5. ATR spectra of pAHNAK alone and in the presence of multilamellar vesicles (MLV).

Table S1. CSA values of DOPE, DOPS, and DOPC between 5°C and 40°C in the presence of pAHNAK.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSA (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPE</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPS</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPC</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>