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(Reviewer 3, Comment 3)

2. Methods

The realization of this work was orchestrated around a grouping of points of view and related knowledge on the social dimension of human beings, stemming from currents of thought and different times. Therefore, all the notions used are the result of reflections or work already existing, and the present study of qualitative type was the subject of a content analysis, which "consists of dismantling the structure and the elements of this content to highlight its different characteristics and to make their meaning clear" (Laville & Dionne, 1996). In other words, we have analyzed authors' concerns more closely around lexical fields in order to extract a selection of content of interest around the social development of human beings.

While the emergence of different social contexts was the result of cross-readings by ancient authors belonging to a Western literary tradition and more contemporary researchers, their arrangement was made, among other things, around the conceptions of Bronfenbrenner's ecological approach (1979); an approach widely used in developmental psychology syntheses (Bee & Boyd, 2003; Berger, 2000; Papalia & Olds, 1989). The schematization of the results obtained finally took shape within an integrative model, the construction of which was carried out in four successive stages (or successive layers). These phases of construction are important to facilitate its reading and understanding that take place on several levels. Therefore, the model will be represented by four figures that in reality only form one that becomes more complex as we added the different concepts.

2.1 First step: review of key authors of the Western tradition

We decided to begin our research with a historical-cultural study of man rather than an inventory of current writings on the subject of his social development. This allowed, first, to keep an open mind, a "naive" look, little influenced by contemporary conceptions, and necessary for the inductive and progressive development of this type of work. Then, sweeping through such a great period of Western history appeared as a pledge of confidence in the emergence and recurrence of different elements of a possible summary table.

Therefore, the field of this research is based, firstly, on a census of writings concerning key thinkers of the great periods of human history and Western societies. We initially sequenced our documentary pool according to the following periods: the Greek period (between the 3rd century BC and the 1st century), the Roman period (between the 1st and 5th century), the Middle Ages (between the 5th and 15th century), the Renaissance (15th to 18th century), the century of Reason (18th century) and the modern era (19th and early 20th century).

For each epoch, we drew up a spontaneous list of thinkers. It was expanded following the meeting of researchers from different fields  History, Philosophy, Sociology  to which we explained our approach. Finally, this list has improved following the reading of the works consulted (Appendix A).

2.2 Second step: systematic review of contemporary authors

We have selected a number of relevant books. We have tagged our research around several lexical fields and keywords (social development of the person, human development, citizen, citizenship, socialization, personal fulfillment, happiness, living together, live happily, interpersonal relationships, social space) that have been associated with each author. The databases of the catalog of the library (Ariane), but also Francis, Eric, Sociology Abstract, among others, were questioned to sweep the period from antiquity to the present day.

Subsequently, the selection was followed by a summary reading (summary, introduction, conclusion, table of contents) in order to first identify the notions and lexical fields that appeared relevant to an integrative model used as a basis for social development of human beings. This targeted reading strategy allowed faster access to relevant information. Therefore, it is easy to understand that all the works consulted for this research have not been read in their entirety. However, they all contributed to the development of the integrative model through the concepts they addressed, the precision they offered about the author consulted or even the context of the time when the writings appeared.

This inductive approach has allowed us to shape our thinking and develop our model as we read and collect data from the Grounded theory perspective (Glaser & Strauss, 1967).

2.3 Third step: organization and data collection

The collection of data was organized around the division of contents. In other words, a selection of relevant elements was made within the collected documentation. Thus, everything relating to the various lexical fields mentioned above was taken into consideration in order to constitute a database of important data with respect to the social development of the human being, especially to perceive the emergence of the themes in the thought of the authors, their recurrence in their writings, but also between the different authors of the same time.

2.4 Fourth step: categorization data analysis

All this information, once harvested, has been categorized. These emerging categories have been defined according to the open model; that is, they "are not fixed initially, but take shape during the analysis itself" (Laville & Dionne, 1996). In this conception of the approach, the categories emerged at the end of a "regrouping" of periods. Three sets have been created: (a) the Greek, Roman and Middle Ages, (b) the Renaissance and Reason centuries and (c) the modern period. In order to make the synthesis of ideas functional, double-entry tables (Authors/Categories) have been drawn up for the different groups presented previously (Appendix B). Thanks to this progression, it was possible to "obtain a first set of rudimentary categories. This set is the starting point of an approach which, in successive stages, will lead to the final categories" (Laville & Dionne, 1996).